IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 10TH JANUARY 2007 / 20TH PAUSHA 1928

Con.Case(C).No. 1535 of 2006(S)

AGAINST THE ORDER IN WPC.25299/2006 Dated 19/10/2006

.....

PETITIONER/PETITIONER

SANDEEP V. AGED 20 YEARS,
S/O. PRABHAKARAN,
VALLIL HOUSE, NUT STREET,
VADAKARA.

BY ADV. SRI.K.MOHANAKANNAN
SMT.A.R.PRAVITHA

RESPONDENTS: 1ST AND 2ND RESPONDENTS

---

1. MUHAMMED,
(AGE AND FATHERS NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER)
REGISTRAR, CALICUT UNIVERSITY,
CALICUT UNIVERSITY P.O. TENHIPALAM,
MALAPPURAMN DT.

2. P.SASI,
(AGE & FATHERS NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER)
CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS, CALICUT UNIVERSITY,
CALICUT UNIVERSITY P.O.
TENHIPALAM, MALAPPURAM DT.

BY ADV. SRI.P.C.SASIDHARAN, SC, CALICUT UTY.

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 10/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

S. Siri Jagan, J.

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=

Cont. Case (C) No. 1535 of 2006

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=

Dated this, the 10th January, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

It is admitted by both sides that orders have been passed in compliance with the interim order passed in the writ petition, though belatedly. The respondents have also filed an affidavit stating the reasons for the delay.

In the above circumstances, I do not think it necessary to continue with the proceedings in this contempt case. Accordingly, the contempt case is closed, without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to take appropriate proceedings against the order now stated to have been passed.

Sd/- S. Siri Jagan, Judge.

Tds/

[true copy]

P.S to Judge.